

the court has construed as objections to the magistrate judge's report on September 17, 2008..

A review of the plaintiff's objections and the record indicates that the magistrate judge's report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. Accordingly, the magistrate judge's report is incorporated into this order.

Plaintiff's objections fail to directly address the magistrate judge's report. Any written objection must specifically identify the portions of the report and recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1). Generally stated, nonspecific objections have the same effect as would a failure to object. Howard v. Secretary of Health and Human Services, 932 F.2d 505, 509 (6th Cir. 1991). Plaintiff's "objections" are nothing more than a rehashing of why he has been unable to retain an attorney to represent him in this matter, which does not negate the fact that Local Civil Rule of Procedure 83.I.07 and South Carolina law prohibit corporations from pro se participation in civil litigation.

Accordingly, this court agrees with the magistrate judge and finds that the within action be **dismissed.**

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.


PATRICK MICHAEL DUFFY
United States District Judge

September 30, 2008
Charleston, South Carolina

sufficiently understandable to one in appellant's circumstances fairly to appraise him of what is required.'" Id. at 846. Plaintiff was advised in a clear manner that his objections had to be filed within ten (10) days, and he received notice of the consequences at the appellate level of his failure to object to the magistrate judge's report

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified that he has the right to appeal this Order within **thirty (30) days** from the date hereof pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.